ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.–ಅಡ್ಮಿಷನ್ ಇರೆಗ್ಯುಲರ್ ಆಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ರೆಕ್ಕನರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗದ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲೇ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಆ ಊರಿನ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳನ್ನೇ ಅಡ್ಮಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಖರ್ಚು ಬಿದ್ದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ರೋಕರ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ರ್ಸನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಕೊನೆಯವರೆಗೂ ರೋಕರ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ರ್ಸನ್ನೇ ಸೇರಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಅದರ ವಿಷಯ ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಗ್ರ್ಯಾಂಟ್ ಇನ್ ಏಡ್ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರೋಕರ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ರ್ಸನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಗ್ರ್ಯಾಂಟ್ ಇನ್ ಏಡ್ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆಸಿದ್ದರೆ ತಾನೆ ಕೌಂಟರ್ ಸೈನಿಂಗ್ ಆಫೀಸರು ಅಲ್ಲೋ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದುದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.–ಕೌಂಟರ್‌ಸೈನಿಂಗ್ ಅಥಾರಿಟಿ ಕೂಡ ತಪ್ಪುಮಾಡಿರಬಹುದು. ಗ್ರ್ಯಾಂಟ್ ಇನ್ ಏಡ್ ಕೊಡುವಹಾಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

———

Repair to the Road between Haliyal and Dharwar.

Q.—1700. Sri R. G. KAMAT (Ankola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the road between Haliyal and Dharwar is in a bad condition;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that the major portion of the road has been transferred to D.L.B., Dharwar, when it was in bad condition;

(*c*) whether they are aware that the D.L.B. is unable to repair this road for paucity of funds;

(*d*) whether they will retransfer the road to the P.W.D. so as to undertake repairs?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes, in certain parts.

(*b*) At the time of transfer to the District Local Board, the road was in a fairly good condition.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) The road is proposed to be taken back to the control of the Public Works Department with effect from 1st April 1958 along with other such transferred roads.

Sri R. G. KAMAT.—What was the cause for damage?

*Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—There was no special damage as such. These roads were transferred to the D.L.B. by the Bombay Government for a period of 3 years and when we got them back they were not received in the same condition in which they were given.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—What amount was allotted to this road by the Bombay Government for its maintenance?

*Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—In Bombay the practice is that block allotments are made to the Superintending Engineer and he will allot funds for each road depending upon its requirements.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—Out of the block allotments, what was the amount allotted for this road and handed over to the D.L.B.?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It was of the order of Rs. 1,000.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—What portion of the allotment has heen spent by the Bombay Government?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Four miles in that area were under the management of the P.W.D. This portion is in a good condition while the D.L.B. portion was neglected for want of funds with the D.L.B.

Sri R. G. KAMAT.—What is the average traffic per day?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—45 tons per hour.

An HON'BLE MEMBER.—Is there any proposal before Government to asphalt this road?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Plans and estimates in this behalf are ready and it is estimated to cost about Rs. 3½ lakhs.

———

Equation of Posts.

Q.—1724. Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Sampagaon I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the scale of pay of First Division Clerks in the Secretariat;

(*b*) the scale of pay of Junior Assistants and Senior Assistants transferred from Bombay;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the Senior Assistants have at present been treated on par with First Division Clerks;

(*d*) whether any representations have been received by them in this behalf;

(*e*) if so, the action they have taken or propose to take in the matter?

A.–Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).–

(*a*) Rs. 80-5-100-8-140-10-200.

(*b*) Junior Assistants—
Rs. 120-10-200 E.B. 10-250.
Senior Assistant—
Rs. 210-15-300.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) The matter is under consideration.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Supplementary to (*c*): What are the reasons why Senior Assistants from Bombay State have been treated on par with First Division Clerks in the Mysore Area?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There are different types of Government servants coming from five administrative units and so some factors have been taken into consideration and equation has been made.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—What are the factors taken into account for the purpose of equating the posts?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—They are as follows:—

(*i*) the nature and duties of a post;

(*ii*) the responsibilities and powers exercised by the officer holding a post; the extent of territorial or other charge held or responsibilities discharged;

(*iii*) the minimum qualifications, if any, prescribed for recruitment to the post;

(*iv*) the salary of the post.

While determining relative seniority as between two persons holding posts declared equivalent to each other, there is another standard that has to be applied.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—When the Senior Assistants are treated on par with First Division Clerks, may I know what steps have been taken to bring about uniformity in their salaries?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That is why we have clubbed all these posts together. A special committee was appointed by this Government. Another committee was also appointed to go into this question. The Government of India officers had also come here in this connection and everything will be finalised very shortly.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—My question was: have any steps been taken to bring about uniformity in their pay scales since you have put them in the same cadre?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—According to the States Reorganisation Act, the pay scales which the Government servants were getting at the time of the formation of the new State must be protected. We cannot change their pay scale.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—You were pleased to say that some general considerations have weighed with the committee in the matter of equation of posts. May I know whether there is any amendment to the State Notification to the effect that salary ought not to be taken into account for the purpose of determination of equation of posts?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—As I have already replied, that is not the only consideration. Other factors are also to be taken into consideration.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Is it not a fact that the minimum salary of the Senior Assistants is higher than the maximum salary of the First Division Clerks in old Mysore State?

(*No reply*)

Sri A. S. PATIL.—Is it not a fact that there is dissatisfaction on account of the fact that the Senior Assistants transferred from Bombay have been treated on par with the First Division Clerks of the Mysore State?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is no reduction in salary. So, there should not be any reason for being dissatisfied.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALE.—The point is that the Senior Assistants must be placed at a higher level than the First Division Clerks.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—As I have already stated, they have been clubbed together.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that there is also dissatisfaction among the officers of the old Mysore State, particularly among the First Division Clerks, on the ground that the work entrusted is more while the salary paid to them is very much less?

(*No reply*)

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is my presumption correct that the work done by the Senior Assistants is the same as that done by the First Division Clerks?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Yes.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Will the Government be pleased to take steps upgrade the salaries of the First Division Clerks of the Mysore State to the level of the Senior Assistant?

(*No reply*)

Sri H. V KOUJALGI.—Is it not a fact that the Senior Assistants transferred from Bombay were holding more important posts and that their duties were more responsible?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The nature of the work is the same here.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Is it true that the fixation of seniority among the Senior Assistants and First Division Clerks is not yet finalised?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I have already replied to that question.

Loans advanced for Development of V.G.D. Rural Industries in Gauribidanur Taluk.

Q.—2035. Sri A. MUNIYAPPA (Chickballapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the amount of loan granted in respect of Village Group Development Rural Industries in Gauribidanur Taluk since three years (hobliwise information to be furnished);

(*b*) the amount of loan not repaid on the due date (hobliwise information to be furnished)?

A.—Smt. LEELAVATI VENKATESH MAGADI (Deputy Minister for Rural Industries).—

Sl. No.	*Name of the Hobli R.I.S. Society*	*Amount of loan issued*
A.—(*a*)—		Rs.
1.	Gauribidanur Kasaba Hobli ...	... 60,200
2.	Manchenahalli Hobli	... 29,800
3.	Thondebhavi Hobli	... 32,875
4.	Hossur Hobli	... 29,800
5.	D'Palya Hobli	... 44,600
6.	Nagargere Hobli	... 30,725
	Total	... 2,28,000
(*b*)—		Rs. nP.
1.	Kasaba Hobli ...	11,527–05
2.	Manchenahalli Hobli.	4,805–27
3.	Thondebhavi Hobli ...	12,925–19
4.	Hossur Hobli ...	21,090–21
5.	Nagargere Hobli ...	5,906–32
	Total ...	56,254–04

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಉತ್ತರ ಅಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಣ್ಣ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ಉತ್ತರದ (ಬ) ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗು 56,254–04 ಎನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ 66,909–36 ನಯಾ ಪೈಸಾಗಳೆಂದು ಇರಬೇಕು.

ಇದು ವನೂಲಾಗಬೇಕಾದ ಪೊತ್ತವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಮುನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ 2,28,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಲವಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವದು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದೇ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಆರ್. ಐ. ಸಿ, ರೂಲ್ಸುಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಣವನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಯಾವ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳೂ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಫೈಲುಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know through which agenci these moneys are recovered? Is it through civil courts or revenue agency?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಕೋ-ಆಪರೇಟಿವ್ ರಾ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it a decree passed by the Registrar or.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—You must look into the law itself.